From the	ONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY			
То:		····		PCT		
•					101	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		•		Date of mailing		
				(day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's of See form F				FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	R ACTION slow	
PCT/EP20	04/05184	13	International filing date (a 19.08.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 20.08.2003	
International	Patent Clas	ssification (IPC) or	both national dassification a	and IPC	1	
B65B9/04,	B62B3W	2				
Applicant MARS INC	ORPOR	ATED				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
☐ Boo	x No. II x No. III x No. III x No. IV x No. V x No. VI x No. VIII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cit Certain docume Certain defects Certain observa ION International preliif the International presses an Authorit eau under Rule e isidered	nent of opinion with regard invention ament under Rule 43bis. ations and explanations and explanations artistic cited. In the international applications on the international ations on the international aminary examination is mile. I Preliminary Examining by other than this one to be \$6.1bis(b) that written opinion (b) that written opinion (c) considered to be a writing to the poor of form PCT/ISA/220 or before the poor of	rd to novelty, invent  (a)(i) with regard to supporting such state cation I application ade, this opinion will Authority ("IPEA") be the IPEA and the inlons of this interna-	tive step and industrial applicability o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement  Il usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where a chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority  IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,	
•			Prim PCT/ISA/220.			
	Joids	-, 000 inites to F(	лін РО <i>пБА/22</i> 0.			
Vame and mail	loo odd					

vame and mailing address of the ISA:

*@*))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer** 

Damiani, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2535



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

101568600

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051843

	IAP20 ROC'A COTTO 17 FEB 2000	j
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	18-18 Call Berges 63 p C 21 s C 2	
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been the language in which it was field, unless otherwise</li> </ol>	established on the basis of the international application in indicated under this item.	_
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis	of a translation from the original language into the following ation furnished for the purposes of international search	)
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid s necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has</li> </ol>	sequence disclosed in the international application and been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material:	•	
☐ a sequence listing		
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:		
☐ in written format		
☐ in computer readable form		
c. time of filing/furnishing:		
Contained in the international application as f	iled.	
☐ :filed together with the international applicatio	n in computer readable form.	
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the		
In addition, in the case that more than one version has been filed or furnished, the required statement copies is identical to that in the application as file appropriate, were furnished.	on or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto ents that the information in the subsequent or additional ed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as	
Additional comments:		

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051843

The following document has not been furnished:    Copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).   Itranslation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).   Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  2.	Box No.	II Priority							
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).  translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.  Additional observations, if necessary:  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  Rock No. VII Certain defects in the international application	1. ⊠ The f	ollowing document i	nas not been furnish	ed:					
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 436/s.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 436/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  Ro: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  Ro: Claims 1-14	_	·							
consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.  2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, Inventive step of industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  Do: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  No: Claims 1-14  Do: Claims 1-14  Ro: Claims 1-14  Do: Claims 1-14  Ro: Claims 1-14	Ö	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been element (0.1, 1991).							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14 No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations  See separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application		equently it has not b theless been establi	een possible to cons shed on the assump	sider the validity of the otion that the relevant	priority claim. This opinion has date is the claimed priority date				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	mig (	hate indicated above	is considered to be	ority had been claimed 1). Thus for the purpo the relevant date.	due to the fact that the priority claim ses of this opinion, the international				
Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations  see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	3. Additional	observations, if nece	essary:		•				
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations  see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14  No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations  see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		•							
Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 6-8, 13-14 No: Claims 1-5, 9-12  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  Citations and explanations  see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	Box No. V industrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment under Rule 4 ons and explanation	3 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regarders supporting such	rd to novelty, inventive step or				
Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  No: Claims  1-14  No: Claims  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	1. Statement				Satement				
Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  No: Claims  Citations and explanations see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	Novelty (N)			6-8, 13-14					
No: Claims 1-14  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-14  No: Claims  Citations and explanations  see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			No: Claims	1-5, 9-12					
No: Claims  2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	Inventive step (IS)			1-14					
See separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	Industrial a	oplicability (IA)		1-14					
See separate sheet  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application	2. Citations an	d explanations							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application he following defects in the form or contents of the international application									
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  The following defects in the form or contents of the international application									
The following defects in the form or contents of the interest of	Box No. VII	Certain defects in	n the international	application					
of contents of the international application have been noted.	the following d	efects in the form or	contents of the inter	mational application ha	ave been noted:				
see separate sheet	see separat	e sheet							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the lease of	Box No. VIII	Certain observat	lone on the inte						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  he following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the laims are fully supported by the description, are made:			wis on the interne	ational application					

Form PCT/PEA/237 (January 2004)



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/051843

#### Section V

1. Relevant documents

The following document is referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: US 4 154 636 A D2: US 4 604 852 A D3: GB 1 297 000 A

## Claims 1-5 and 9-11

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33 (1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5 and 9-11 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a device and its operating method for packaging products showing all the features of claims 10 and 11 (and therefore all the features of claims 1-5 and 9-11). In particular document D1 shows first and second sheets (s. [1] and [2]) continuously moved in a transport direction (vertical in Fig. 1, horizontal in Fig.3). The first and second sheets are sealed together near the outer edges of the individual grouped products by a sealing device (s. [5] and [6]). At least one of said sheets (see e.g. [2]) is preshaped by a pre-shaping device (s. [7]+[3] or [8]+[4]) to fit at least partially around the products before the same sheet (see e.g. [2]) comes into contact with the products. The pre-shaping device comprises a first rotating shaping frame (see [3] or [4]) on one side of the moving sheet and a second rotating shaping frame (see [7] or [8]) on the opposite side of the moving sheet, the rotation axes of both frames extending transversely to the transport direction of the sheet, wherein said frames comprise co-operating protruding shaping ribs (see the shape of frames [3], [4], [7] and [8]) extending substantially transversely to the transport direction, wherein the shaping ribs of both frames move between each other, and wherein said shaping ribs are being moved at the same speed as the pre-shaped sheet.

# Dependent claims 6-8

Claims 6-8, as presently formulated, show additional features which are well known in the art. Therefore their subject-matter is not inventive within the meaning of Article 33 (3) PCT.

In particular document D2 shows the additional feature of claim 6 (see 'straws' [50]) and document D3 shows the additional feature of claim 8 (see 'perforation line' [90]).

Claim 12 4.

The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 (1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT.

Document D3 discloses an array of packaged products (s. Fig.X) showing all the features of claim 12.

### 5. Dependent claims 13-14

Claims 13-14, as presently formulated, show aditional features which are well known in the art. Therefore their subject-matter is not inventive within the meaning of Article 33 (3) PCT.

In particular document D2 shows the additional feature of claim 13 (see 'straws' [50]).

The subject-matter of claims 1-14 is industrially applicable (Article 33 (4) PCT).

#### Section VIII

The present application lacks conciseness and clarity (Article 6 PCT) because of the two independent apparatus claims (9 and 11) and the two independent method claims (1 and 10).

#### Section VII

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1 (a) (ii) PCT, documents D1 and D3 are not identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein is not even briefly discussed.